

Is there any opposition to the applying entity, the application or the applied-for string of which the applying entity is aware? If so, please provide details.

Instructions

Explain the relevance, or lack of relevance, of any potential opposition and, where applicable, how the applying entity intends to address or resolve it.

Is there any opposition to the applying entity, application, or applied-for string that the applying entity is aware of? If yes, please explain

0. Definitions

"Profession d'avocat" (referred to as *"Legal Profession"* in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer exclusively to the legal profession of lawyer, as regulated in France by Law No. 71-1130 of 31 December 1971, reforming certain judicial and legal professions, Decree No. 91-1197 of 27 November 1991, and the Barreaux' national rules of procedure.

"Avocat" (referred to as *"Attorney"* in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to any person practising the Profession d'avocat and registered on the Tableau, whether a natural person (an Avocat individually registered with a Barreau) or a legal entity (a company or practice entity of Avocats registered with a Barreau).

"Barreau" (referred to as *"Bar Association"* in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to all Avocats practising before each French judicial court, as well as before the Conseil d'État and the Cour de cassation, and registered on the Tableau.

"Ordre" (referred to as *"Bar Association"* in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to the democratic institution representing each Barreau, administered by a Conseil de l'Ordre chaired by a Bâtonnier (or by a President in the case of the Ordre des avocats près du Conseil d'État et de la Cour de cassation), elected by all avocats of that Barreau.

"Conseil de l'Ordre" (referred to as *"Bar Association Board of Directors"* in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to the representative and deliberative body of the Barreau, elected by all its Avocats.

"Bâtonnier" (referred to as *"President"* in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to the person who chairs the Conseil de l'Ordre and

whose mission is to represent the Avocats of their Barreau, uphold professional ethics, ensure discipline, and promote the activities of the Ordre.

"Tableau" (referred to as "Roll" in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to the official list of Avocats of each Barreau adopted by the Conseil de l'Ordre, including two main sections: natural persons (Avocats individually registered with the Barreau) and legal entities (companies or practice entities of Avocats registered with the Barreau).

"Conseil National des Barreaux" and/or **"CNB"** (referred to as "National Council of Bar Associations" in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to the public-interest organisation responsible for representing the Profession d'avocat nationally before public authorities.

"Conférence des Bâtonniers" shall hereinafter refer to the association representing 163 Barreaux and 45,000 Avocats.

"Centre Régional de Formation Professionnelle des Avocats" and/or **"CRFPA"** (referred to as "School of Attorney" in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to the institution providing the initial professional training of Avocats to-be after they have passed the entrance examination organised through the Instituts d'Études Judiciaires, preparing them for the Certificat d'Aptitude à la Profession d'Avocat (or "CAPA"), and providing continuing training for Avocats.

"Caisse Autonome des Règlements Pécuniaires des Avocats" and/or **"CARPA"** (referred to as "Attorney Payment Fund" in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to the intra-professional institutions that secure fund-handling transactions carried out by Avocats on behalf of their clients.

"Conseil de discipline" (referred to as "Disciplinary Council" in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to a professional disciplinary jurisdiction established at each french court of appeal and competent to hear breaches and misconduct committed by Avocats belonging to the Barreaux established within that jurisdiction.

"Syndicat d'avocats" and/or **"Association d'avocats"** (referred to as "Attorney's Union" and/or "Professional Union" in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer to a collective organisation of Avocats, distinct from the Ordres and Barreaux, responsible for advancing claims, defending professional interests, and participating in debate on the development of the Profession d'avocat.

"Institution de la Profession d'avocat" (referred to as "Professional institution" in the Internal Regulations of the Bordeaux Bar Association) shall hereinafter refer interchangeably to the Ordres, the CNB, and the Conférence des Bâtonniers.

"Communauté professionnelle des Avocats" shall hereinafter refer collectively to the Avocats, the Ordres, the CNB, the Conférence des Bâtonniers, the CRFPAs, the CARPAs, the Conseils de discipline, the Syndicats and Associations d'avocats, as well as ad hoc entities mandated by the Institutions de la Profession d'avocat and social and/or technical bodies mandated by the Institutions de la Profession d'avocat.

To the applying entity's knowledge, no opposition has been expressed against the applying entity, the application for the ".AVOCAT" TLD or the applied-for string.

The applying entity is not aware of any formal challenge, objection or opposition from any member of the Communauté professionnelle des Avocats, any representative institution of the Profession d'avocat, any professional organisation or any other stakeholder in relation to the application or the proposed operation of the ".AVOCAT" TLD.

This absence of known opposition results in particular from the discussions initiated by the applying entity with various components of the Communauté professionnelle des Avocats in the course of preparing the application, as well as from the support obtained from representative stakeholders within that community.

The applying entity considers that the absence of formally expressed support from a particular organisation or institution does not, in itself, constitute opposition to the application where no adverse position has been brought to its attention.

If formal opposition were brought to the applying entity's attention, it undertakes to assess its relevance and seek an appropriate response in accordance with the applicable ICANN rules, the community objectives of the ".AVOCAT" TLD and the interests of the Communauté professionnelle des Avocats.